

How much does whole chain traceability cost?



B. D. Adam, C. C. Craige, and M. D. Buser

NWCTI-13

As the beef industry considers implementing a whole chain traceability system, one of the biggest questions is “How much is this going to cost me?” One of the biggest costs associated with a whole chain traceability system is the purchase of Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) tags and RFID reader or ‘wand’. Cow-calf producers incur this cost early in the supply chain. The cost of special ear tags and readers, in addition to the cost of time and labor installing the ear tags (\$2.00-\$5.00 per head), may have discouraged many cow-calf producers from participating in traceability systems.

However, if the producer is already putting cattle through the chute system to vaccinate or to apply ear tags to the animals then the extra cost is much less. For producers who already plan to use non-RFID ear tags in their calves, the extra costs associated with the traceability system are the RFID tag (Figure 1), which can be between \$2.00 and \$4.25 per head, and \$1,000 to \$1,300 for an RFID reader wand. Note there is no additional cost to buy an ear tag applicator because RFID tags are designed to work with current ear tag applicators. Also, for producers who are already entering their herd management records into a digital system, such as CowSense® or CattleMax® (cost \$150 - \$600), no extra effort is needed to transfer information into the traceability database. The added costs could be partially recovered in cost savings to producers further down in the beef supply chain, who can use the information to avoid costly duplication of vaccinations and other procedures.

Costs vs. Benefits: Large vs. Small Producers

When the benefits of implementing a whole-chain traceability system are considered, including cost-savings throughout the supply chain, the net costs are relatively small per animal. However, it is still a challenge that while most of the costs fall on cow-calf producers (because they install the RFID tag), most of the benefits (such as reduced vaccinations or better information about the animal’s history) go to larger producers and processors further down the supply chain. Estimated costs to producers, including RFID ear tags, installing the ear tags, and amortized costs of RFID readers range from \$5.95/head for small cow-calf producers (40 cows) to \$0.41/head for cattle feeders with over 8,000 head. Costs for small cow-calf producers are higher than for large cattle feeders. As the first stage in the supply chain, cow/calf producers pay for the RFID ear tag and its installation, additionally the fixed cost of RFID readers are spread over fewer animals. The OSU traceability system described in these fact sheets and accompanying videos helps to address this issue. Because those who benefit from the information cannot access it without permission from those who provide it, costs can be re-allocated to those who benefit most, so the benefits can be more evenly shared.



Figure 1. A variety of ear tags are available to cow-calf producers, costing \$2.00 to \$4.25 per tag.

Benefits above Costs – Value-added

Once the initial obstacle of participation costs is overcome, and many producers participate, they can potentially benefit from additional value-added opportunities. Livestock producers can experience the benefits of “precision agriculture.” Data on carcass and growth performance of progeny of individual cows can go back to cow-calf producers, allowing for improved management of those cows. Further, the “big data” collected in the system can improve confidence in expected progeny differences (EPDs) for important traits, improving the value added to consumers. For example, by including information about sires in the data flow of commercial cattle, breed associations could more quickly isolate genetics with superior feed efficiency or tenderness. The information could help cattle feeders determine optimum time on feed, and more closely tie feeding rations to each animal’s history and genetics.*

Summary

One reason cow-calf producers have been justifiably reluctant to participate in a whole chain traceability system is that it was not clear that the benefits would be greater than the costs. Also, they would have the greatest share of the costs while others in the supply chain would receive the greatest share of the benefits. The OSU traceability system, though, is structured to permit costs and benefits to be more fairly allocated. Moreover, the system allows producers to provide, and be reimbursed for, value-added benefits that can potentially far exceed the cost of participating.

For more information about the NWCTI system, contact Dr. Michael Buser using the information below. YouTube videos related to the NWCTI system can be viewed at <https://goo.gl/MwPhoS>.

*For further details, see Adam, B. D., Holcomb, R. B., Buser, M. D., Mayfield, B.E., Thomas, J. P., Crandall, P., ...Knipe, R. (2016). Enhancing Food Safety, Product Quality, and Value-Added in Food Supply Chains Using Whole-Chain Traceability. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*. 19 (A),191-214. (Available at <http://www.ifama.org/resources/Documents/v19ia/v19ia.pdf>)



THE SAMUEL ROBERTS
NOBLE
FOUNDATION

U of A
DIVISION OF AGRICULTURE
RESEARCH & EXTENSION
University of Arkansas System



This is a publication of the
National Whole Chain Traceability Institute.
Funding Agency: USDA National Integrated Food Safety
Initiative – Project Agreement No. 2011-51110-31044

Collaborators include the Oklahoma State University Departments of Agricultural Economics, Biosystems and Agricultural Engineering, Computer Science, and the Food and Agricultural Products Center; the Samuel Roberts Noble Foundation; University of Arkansas, Dale Bumpers College of Agriculture, Food & Life Sciences – Food Science; Top10 Produce.

Visit <http://nwcti.okstate.edu/> for more information or contact:
Dr. Michael Buser, Associate Professor
113 Agriculture Hall,
Stillwater, OK 74078
buser@okstate.edu

Oklahoma State University, in compliance with Title VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Executive Order 11246 as amended, and Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (Higher Education Act), the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and other federal and state laws and regulations, does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, genetic information, sex, age, sexual orientation, gender identity, religion, disability, or status as a veteran, in any of its policies, practices or procedures. This provision includes, but is not limited to admissions, employment, financial aid, and educational services. The Director of Equal Opportunity, 408 Whitehurst, OSU, Stillwater, OK 74078-1035; Phone 405-744-5371; email: eeo@okstate.edu has been designated to handle inquiries regarding non-discrimination policies: Director of Equal Opportunity. Any person (student, faculty, or staff) who believes that discriminatory practices have been engaged in based on gender may discuss his or her concerns and file informal or formal complaints of possible violations of Title IX with OSU’s Title IX Coordinator 405-744-9154. Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Director of Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma. This publication is printed and issued by Oklahoma State University as authorized by the Vice President, Dean, and Director of the Division of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources and has been prepared and distributed at a cost of 20 cents per copy.