

Children, Youth, and Families At-Risk Sustainable Community Projects

Fiscal Year (FY) 2017 Request for Applications (RFA)

APPLICATION DEADLINE: March 29, 2017

ELIGIBILITY: See Part III, A of RFA



United States
Department of
Agriculture

National Institute
of Food and
Agriculture

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

CHILDREN, YOUTH, AND FAMILIES AT-RISK SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY PROJECTS

INITIAL ANNOUNCEMENT

CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC ASSISTANCE: This program is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under **10.500**.

DATES: Applications must be received by **5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on March 29, 2017**. Applications received after this deadline will normally not be considered for funding (see Part IV, C. of this RFA). Comments regarding this request for applications (RFA) are requested within 6 months from the issuance of this notice. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.

STAKEHOLDER INPUT: The National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA) seeks your comments about this RFA. We will consider the comments when we develop the next RFA for the program, if applicable, and we'll use them to meet the requirements of section 103(c)(2) of the Agricultural Research, Extension, and Education Reform Act of 1998 (7 U.S.C. 7613(c)(2)). Submit written stakeholder comments by the deadline set forth in the DATES portion of this Notice via e-mail to: Policy@nifa.usda.gov. (This e-mail address is intended only for receiving comments regarding this RFA and not requesting information or forms.) In your comments, please state that you are responding to the **Children, Youth, and Families At-Risk Sustainable Community Projects RFA**.

The 2014 Farm Bill contains a requirement regarding Centers of Excellence. Visit the [NIFA website](#) to access a factsheet on the Center of Excellence (COE) designation process, including COE criteria, and a list of programs that offered COE opportunities in fiscal year (FY) 2017. It is anticipated that the same programs will offer COE opportunities in FY 2017. You may also review a recording of COE outreach webinars held in February and March of 2015 from the site. The COE webpages will be updated as appropriate.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: NIFA requests applications for the **Children, Youth, and Families At-Risk Sustainable Community Projects (CYFAR SCP)** for fiscal year (FY) 2017 to marshal resources of the Land-grant and Cooperative Extension Systems so that, in collaboration with other organizations, they can develop and deliver educational programs that equip youth who are at-risk for not meeting basic human needs with the skills they need to lead positive, productive, and contributing lives.

The anticipated amount available for grants in FY 2017 is approximately **\$7,040,000.00**. Of this amount, approximately **\$320,000** will be available to fund new **CYFAR SCPs**, which will have project periods ranging up to five (5) years. The remaining amount, \$6,720,000 of the \$7,040,000, will fund 41 CYFAR Continuation Sustainable Community Projects. This RFA is

being released prior to the passage of an appropriations act for FY 2017. Enactment of continuing resolutions or an appropriations act may affect the availability or level of funding for this program.

This notice identifies the objectives for CYFAR SCP projects, the eligibility criteria for projects and applicants, and the application forms and associated instructions needed to apply for a CYFAR SCP grant.

Table of Contents

PART I—FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION.....	5
A. Legislative Authority and Background.....	5
B. Purpose and Priorities	5
C. Program Area Description	6
PART II—AWARD INFORMATION	14
A. Available Funding.....	14
B. Types of Applications.....	14
C. Project Types.....	15
D. Responsible and Ethical Conduct of Research	17
PART III—ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION.....	18
A. Eligible Applicants	18
B. Cost Sharing or Matching	18
C. Centers of Excellence (COE).....	18
A. Electronic Application Package.....	20
B. Content and Form of Application Submission.....	21
C. Submission Dates and Times.....	27
D. Funding Restrictions.....	28
E. Other Submission Requirements	28
PART V—APPLICATION REVIEW REQUIREMENTS.....	29
A. General.....	29
B. Evaluation Criteria	29
C. Conflicts of Interest and Confidentiality	31
D. Organizational Management Information.....	31
E. Application Disposition.....	32
PART VI—AWARD ADMINISTRATION	33
A. General.....	33
B. Award Notice.....	33
C. Administrative and National Policy Requirements.....	33
D. Expected Program Outputs and Reporting Requirements	33
PART VII—AGENCY CONTACTS	35
PART VIII—OTHER INFORMATION.....	36
A. Use of Funds; Changes	36
B. Confidential Aspects of Applications and Awards	36
C. Regulatory Information.....	37
D. Definitions.....	37
E. Materials Available on the Internet:	37

PART I—FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

A. Legislative Authority and Background

Funding for the Children, Youth, and Families At-Risk Sustainable Community Projects (CYFAR SCP) is authorized under section 3(d) of the Smith-Lever Act of May 8, 1914, as amended (7 U.S.C. 341, et seq.). Section 7403 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act (FCEA) of 2008 amends section 3(d) of the Smith-Lever Act of 1914 (7 U.S.C. 343(d)) in regards to eligibility. The eligibility to compete for CYFAR funding is broadened to include 1890 Land-grant Colleges and Universities, including Tuskegee University, West Virginia State University, and Central State University. Eligibility is also provided to the University of the District of Columbia.

Legislation indicates payments for youth-at-risk programs under section 3(d) of the Smith–Lever Act.

Since 1991, Youth at-Risk (YAR), State Strengthening (STST) and New Communities Projects (NCP) have served as the NIFA mechanism for funding community-based projects and expanding statewide capacity for supporting and sustaining programming for at-risk youth and families. These programs have been funded in all states and three (3) territories and annually reach approximately 10,000 youth and parents in high risk communities.

Stakeholder Input from 2016 CYFAR Stakeholder Feedback

Stakeholders were invited to submit stakeholder input in writing. Three (3) stakeholders submitted feedback. The feedback shared by one (1) stakeholder would be applicable to both local and perhaps their state-wide youth development/4-H Program. There was a suggestion concerning the time allowed by applicants to complete the CYFAR RFA. Another indicated a concern about defining programming around REE and NIFA goals. A mention of the level of funding for year one (1) of \$80,000 suggested that the amount needed to be increased. It was suggested by one (1) that a section be included which emphasizes the empirical base for the state/regional/local-level CYFAR program, including the program population which has been tested. Additionally, it was indicated that the applicant should be required to provide a much more detailed description of the evaluation methodology.

Review the following NIFA webpage for a summary of stakeholder comments:
<https://nifa.usda.gov/program/children-youth-and-families-risk-cyfar>

B. Purpose and Priorities

The mission of the CYFAR Program is to marshal resources of the Land-grant and Cooperative Extension Systems so that, in collaboration with other organizations, they can develop and deliver educational programs that equip youth who are at-risk for not meeting basic human needs with the skills they need to lead positive, productive, and contributing lives. The CYFAR

philosophy: https://nifa.usda.gov/sites/default/files/program/CYFAR%20Philosophy%20Update-2017_2.pdf is the basis for Sustainable Community Projects.

The purpose of SCP funding is to improve the quality and quantity of comprehensive community-based programs for at-risk children, youth, and families supported by the Cooperative Extension System. Collaboration across disciplines, program areas, and geographic lines, as well a holistic approach that views the individual in the context of the family and community, are central to Sustainable Community Projects.

The CYFAR SCP has two (2) strategic objectives:

1. To support community educational programs for at-risk, low income children, youth, and families which are based on locally identified needs, grounded in research, and which lead to the accomplishment of one (1) of four (4) CYFAR National Outcomes (see C.2. of this section); and
2. To integrate CYFAR programming into ongoing Extension programs for children, youth, and families – insuring that at-risk, low income children, youth, and families continue to be part of Extension and/or 4-H programs, and/or Family and Consumer Science Programs and have access to resources and educational opportunities.

CYFAR aligns with the USDA Research, Education, and Economics Action Plan Goals 6 and 7, as well as with the NIFA Strategic Plan Goal 1: Science.

C. Program Area Description

NIFA is offering two (2) project types: New SCP and Joint SCP. All projects are in an Extension project area.

Total Funds Available for All New SCP awards is approximately \$320,000;
Each New SCP applicant can apply for up to \$80,000 total, for the initial year;
Each New Joint SCP applicant may apply for up to \$160,000 total, for the initial year.

NOTE: See RFA Part II. C. – Project Types for chart summarizing anticipated funding.

New SCP and Joint SCPs:

Only one (1) application per Land-grant institution will be accepted for either a New SCP or for a New Joint SCP.

Other Program Information:

1. CYFAR SCP Management

The SCP will be supported by faculty across Extension and university departments and integrated into Extension programs. State CYFAR Extension/university faculty members have responsibility for supporting community-based educational programs for at-risk audiences and

integrating them into the ongoing Extension program. CYFAR SCP Project Directors are expected to build an appropriate team of university (ies), county, and community staff and collaborators with broader experience and understanding of families, youth and children to manage the SCP and to provide technical assistance with planning, implementation, and evaluation of the programs at selected Sustainable Community Project sites. This team should include Technology and Evaluation professionals, as well as county Extension professionals who will provide direct support to the community site programs. Community projects are expected to develop, implement, manage, staff, and evaluate their own programs, involving people with expertise in the community, in the interest of relevance and sustainability. To improve consistency in program planning, implementation, training, and evaluation, SCPs will identify one (1) national outcome, program model, and common measure that is the same for all community sites. University faculty and selected community site staff will develop a five (5) year logic model and a five (5) year work plan as the basis for planning, implementing and evaluating their SCP (see #6. and #7. below).

2. One (1) National CYFAR Outcome

The SCP should focus on children, youth, and families who are at-risk for not meeting basic human needs as articulated in the CYFAR Philosophy:

https://nifa.usda.gov/sites/default/files/program/CYFAR%20Philosophy%20Update-2017_2.pdf

Critical issues and demographic trends impacting children and families in the state should be examined when making outcome and audience decisions. Considerations should include: migrant workers' children; new immigrant children and families; children in families coping with military deployments, separations, and reunions; children and youth facing issues related to use of substances (i.e. opioids), violence, crime, teen pregnancies, sexually transmitted diseases, AIDS, obesity, isolation, poverty and poor school achievement. It is the responsibility of the CYFAR project staff to create an inclusive process in the state for determining the single outcome. University, county, and community program staff experienced in working with CYFAR and/or similar programs should be involved in the decision on selecting the single National CYFAR Outcome.

Select only one (1) of the four (4) National CYFAR Outcomes listed below for all proposed community sites:

Early Childhood

Children will have their basic physical, emotional and intellectual needs met. Babies will be born healthy.

School Age (K-8)

School age youth will demonstrate knowledge, skills, attitudes and behavior necessary for fulfilling, contributing lives.

Teen

Teens will demonstrate knowledge, skills, attitudes and behavior necessary for fulfilling, contributing lives.

Parent/Family

Parents will take primary responsibility for meeting their children's physical, social, emotional and intellectual needs and providing moral guidance and direction. Families will promote positive, productive, and contributing lives for all family members.

3. One (1) Program Model for all SCP community sites

See below for specific details regarding each component:

a. Guiding Principles

Once the CYFAR Outcome is selected, the appropriate CYFAR Guiding Principles should be used to determine the single program model selected for all of an applicant's proposed community sites. Guiding Principles for Early Childhood, School Age, Teen, and Parent/Family are described on the CYFAR website at <https://nifa.usda.gov/cyfar-grant-application-instructions>. Selection of the program model and the relationship of the model to the Guiding Principles must be thoroughly explained in the application. Suggestions for descriptors, strategies for implementation, and resources are also posted with the Guiding Principles for use in the development of the SCP. Whether an applicant elects to use an established program model or chooses to design his/her own programmatic approach, each principle for the selected outcome must be addressed in the SCP application.

b. Uniform Program Model

For CYFAR SCPs, the same uniform program model is implemented in every CYFAR community site. Programs have key and essential components which constitute the structure:

- (i) Desired short, medium and long-term results;
- (ii) Age range of high context participants impacted; age range of children impacted as participants in early childhood and parent and family programs;
- (iii) Frequency and duration of contact with the program;
- (iv) Group size and staffing plan;
- (v) Program and curriculum content (science technology, community service, drama, computer literacy, reading literacy, parent education, exercise/fitness, etc.); and
- (vi) Standardized training and technical assistance plans for project staff.

When one (1) Program Outcome is selected, applicants must make a conscious effort to assure that these model components are uniform across the applicant's proposed community sites.

Program outcomes must be connected to at least one (1) of the goals below:

USDA Strategic Goals, (<http://www.ocfo.usda.gov/usdasp/usdasp.htm>)

AND/OR

NIFA Strategic Goals (<http://nifa.usda.gov/resource/nifa-strategic-plan-fy2014-fy2018>)

AND/OR

(1) REE Action Plan Challenge Area
(<http://nifa.usda.gov/resource/ree-action-plan>).

The application must specifically reflect alignment with one (1) of the above USDA, NIFA and/or REE goals.

c. High Context Participants

Research has shown that young people need positive relationships with caring adults, inclusive and safe environments, to be engaged in their own learning, to have opportunities for mastery and self-determination, and to see themselves as active participants in the future, and to value and practice service for others (Arnold, Bordeau and Nott, 2013). CYFAR projects are intended to provide long-term, increasingly challenging educational experiences designed to meet needs of children and youth so they may experience belonging, mastery, independence, and generosity. High context participation refers to experiences in which young people and adults have close connections and challenging activities intended to continue for a significant period of time, optimally beyond the duration of the grant funding. The participants who receive the ongoing, intense interaction with the program are considered the high context youth and are the focus of the five-year plan. Applications addressing the school-age and teen national outcome which include outcomes for youth will be considered for funding.

Other youth and adult audiences benefit from CYFAR programs and sometimes from the participation in high context activities. These are an important part of the community strengthening aspect of CYFAR and should be noted in the five-year plan and reported in the Community section of the year-end report.

Specific to two (2) of the four (4) National CYFAR Outcomes (school age and teen) which may be selected as the focus for this project, youth participants may be introduced to 4-H through low context programs and expand their involvement by enrolling in 4-H clubs to insure long-term progressive educational experiences which provide opportunities for leadership, community service, positive relationships with caring adults, inclusive and safe environments, active engagement in their own learning, mastery, self-determination, and to see themselves as active participants in the future. If either school-age youth or teen is selected as the National CYFAR Outcomes focus area for this project proposal, integration of CYFAR youth participants into the 4-H Youth Development program is strongly encouraged.

One (1) high context educational activity which may be considered for participation by older adolescent participants is National 4-H Conference <http://4-h.org/parents/national-4-h-conference/>.

d. Parent/Family and Early Childhood Participants

According to research, families are the most significant system for developing children (Bronfenbrenner, 1999). Family risk factors such as poverty, low parental educational attainment,

and single parenthood can have critical impact on a child's development. Applications addressing the parent/family national outcome which focus on family risk factors and demonstrate impact on the child or children placed at-risk are encouraged.

Research also indicates that high quality care in the first years of life [just beyond toddlerhood, up to age five (5)] can greatly reduce the risk that today's youngest children will become tomorrow's youth most placed at-risk (Dimidjian, 1989). Applications focusing on the early childhood national outcome which include outcomes for the child are encouraged.

4. Integrated Program Components

Community, Technology, and Sustainability are the three (3) program components that are integral to all SCPs and must be addressed in the SCP application (For the Community and Technology Components).

a. Community

The Community Component captures the "ecological" approach of CYFAR projects connecting the projects to existing networks as well as ensuring that the family and community remain strong contexts for program participants. Children, Youth, and Family programs are most effective in creating long-term outcomes when they involve change in the larger ecological context of the program audience. CYFAR recommends choosing at least one (1) of the following three (3) approaches for integrating Community:

1. A Multi-Level Approach -- targets program audiences beyond the program's primary target audience;
2. A Community Collaboration Approach -- community programs working through a collaborative group; and
3. A Systems Change Approach -- recognizes interacting social, economic, and environmental factors that influence CYFAR audiences and seeks opportunities to engage CYFAR audiences in addressing these factors and creating change.

These approaches represent a range of complexity and are intended to give applicants several potential strategies to affect change in their community's context. Program developers can adopt the best approach for enhancing their primary program outcomes. See the link referring to Community Sites, https://nifa.usda.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/cyfar_site_selection.pdf All Sustainable Community Projects must address how their programs fit into this "Community" context using at least one (1) of the above three (3) approaches.

b. Technology

Information and communication technologies are permeating American society and lives. Americans communicate via email, use the Web to find health and gardening information, and bank and shop online. Schools require term papers that are word-processed, illustrated with

graphics and tables and include URLs of references in their footnotes. Online directories and maps help locate people and businesses. Entry-level jobs now require technology skills as inventories of goods and services are maintained with technology. Technology literacy is an essential skill to perform basic activities of jobs, school, and personal lives.

Special efforts to create low risk/high utility situations for the program participants must be created. Integrating technology and the development of technology skills into programs which serve families and communities at-risk is especially important, as typically they have fewer opportunities to learn and apply their learning. Each SCP is to develop an explicit technology plan to ensure that information and communication technology is appropriately integrated throughout the program design. Programs should have adequate information and communication technology infrastructure (i.e., hardware, software, network connectivity/mini-labs, and technology expertise) to support program administration, professional staff development, educational programming with clients, online collaboration, and electronic publishing of lessons learned and results. The technology plan needs to put technology tools in the hands of program participants to help them reach the goals of the SCP (see the SCP applications instructions, refer to the Technology Utilization document, https://nifa.usda.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/cyfar_technology_utilization.pdf).

c. Sustainability

Planning for sustaining community SCP project is an obligation of CYFAR program professionals. Sustainability is the capacity of programs to continue to respond to identified community needs beyond the duration of the CYFAR funding. A sustained program maintains a focus consistent with its original goals and objectives, including the individuals, families, and communities it was originally intended to serve. Some programs contract in scope, while others expand, and still others maintain the original program activities. Some programs align with other organizations and institutions while others maintain their independence. One (1) of the key elements of sustainability is retaining the goal of supporting at-risk families by providing continued benefits, regardless of the particular activities that are delivered. To most effectively sustain programs for children, youth and families, an intentional effort must be made early in the program planning process. Each SCP will develop and submit a sustainability plan including those factors that research has demonstrated to be important for program sustainability: Leadership Competence, Effective Collaboration, Understanding Community, Demonstrating Program Results, Strategic Funding, Staff Involvement and Integration, and Program Responsiveness. See information regarding sustainability, http://digitalcommons.usu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2001&context=extension_curall Within their proposals, states should show how these projects will be integrated into their ongoing Extension programs in order to illustrate sustainability and continued funding of these projects beyond the maximum five (5) years of CYFAR Federal funding.

5. Selecting the SCP Community Sites

Two (2) or three (3) community sites should be selected based on EITHER of the following criteria (a or b):

(a) A minimum of 50 percent of the population in targeted communities must be living at or below 185% of the Federal poverty level; or

(b) A minimum of 50 percent of participants at each site must meet one (1) or more of the following characteristics: family qualifies for a public assistance program; family income falls below the Federal poverty threshold; greater than 25% of the National CYFAR Outcome target audience is uninsured (<https://data.cms.gov/dataset/The-Percent-of-Uninsured-People-for-Outreach-Target/9hxb-n5xb?>); family income is less than 75 percent of the State or county median income; a parent did not complete high school; youth/family on record with community, juvenile justice and law enforcement, or social agencies for foster care, child abuse or neglect, substance abuse, eligibility for free or reduced school lunch, the WIC program, SNAP or other clearly established risk indicators;

And

Community sites should also meet ALL of the following criteria (c through f), https://nifa.usda.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/cyfar_site_selection.pdf

(c) Integrate focus in plan to adhere to the Guiding Principles of the one (1) selected CYFAR Outcome;

(d) Produce a plan for adherence to the principles of CYFAR Integrated Program Components – Community, Technology, and Sustainability;

(e) CYFAR projects are strongly encouraged to partner with community sites inclusive of public housing authorities as well as 1994 Land-grant institutions, Hispanic-serving Institutions and minority-serving institutions; and

(f) If applicable, community sites that have been previously funded under any CYFAR project will need to provide justification as to how this is a new and different project that merits their consideration for CYFAR funding. Indicate the measured outcomes and impacts of the previously funded project and how this award from NIFA will strengthen the current outcomes and project impacts. Also cite how this award will contribute to greater sustainability of the SCP project.

6. Logic Model

Once the SCP community sites are selected, an institutional team should be developed that will work with community staff to develop one (1) five-year SCP program plan and logic model. The CYFERnet SEARCH: Supporting Evaluation and Research Capacity Hub website (<https://cyfernetsearch.org>) features two (2) essential resources for applicants applying for FY 2017 CYFAR SCP funding. These resources include an interactive Logic Model Builder and an interactive CYFAR Common Measures Survey Builder. These tools are to be used to facilitate the logic model process within the communities in program development, implementation, and

subsequent evaluation for research based programs to lead to accomplishment of the selected CYFAR outcome. The logic model provides a visual diagram that illustrates specific components of a program and describes how the program will work. The CYFAR-specific logic model consists of five (5) elements including:

- (a) Identified needs and assets,
- (b) Desired results (short-term and long-term),
- (c) Indicators (short-term and long-term),
- (d) Activities, and
- (e) Resources.

Prospective applicants are required to use the CYFERnet SEARCH website to create a user account and develop their program's logic model. The Logic Model Builder can be found at: <https://cyfernetsearch.org/build> in the Program Planning for Effective Program Evaluation module. A program's completed logic model (PDF version) must be included as part of the grant application package. With the use of the newly developed logic model, prospective applicants will also need to identify at least one (1) short-term outcome from their logic model that will result from their program and can be subsequently evaluated with a CYFAR common measure. Successful applicants are expected to comply with the required site evaluations submissions. The Interactive CYFAR Common Measures Survey Builder (cyfernetsearch.org) should be utilized to develop their evaluation assessment. The survey builder is located at: <https://cyfernetsearch.org/tools>. The CYFAR Common Measures include a list of vetted instruments that address Core Competencies in CYFAR programming, as well as Leadership, Nutrition, Parenting, Physical Activities, Science, Technology, and Workforce Preparation and is located at: http://cyfernetsearch.org/cyfar_common_measures. New projects are expected to report and share evaluative outcomes regarding their identified CYFAR common measure(s).

7. Five (5) Year Work Plan

CYFAR SCP applications will describe how the selected program model will be implemented over five (5) years. The Work Plan will include tasks such as staffing, recruiting participants, recruiting volunteers, establishing key partnerships, program implementation, marketing and promotion, materials development, training staff and volunteers, and evaluation methods. The Work Plan must indicate who is responsible, if known, for each of the key functions. CYFAR projects may or may not have the same participants for the full five (5) year plan. As well, they may or may not have the same partners for the full five-year period. When there are two (2) or more high context cohorts planned for the five (5) years of the project, each should be noted in the five (5) year plan timeline.

CYFAR encourages (but does not require) CYFAR SCP Projects to use eXtension for program content considered suitable for delivery through eXtension (<https://extension.org/>).

This content is for "end users" as opposed to staff development and must follow the eXtension Guiding Principles and guidelines for including eXtension in a proposal. CYFAR does support the existing Community of Practice (COP) on Vulnerable Populations.

PART II—AWARD INFORMATION

A. Available Funding

The anticipated amount available for NIFA support of this program in FY 2017 is approximately \$7,040,000.00. Of this amount, approximately \$320,000 will be available to fund new CYFAR SCPs, which will have project periods ranging up to five (5) years. The funds will be awarded through a grant. This RFA is being released prior to the passage of an appropriations act for FY 2017. Enactment of continuing resolutions or an appropriations act may affect the availability or level of funding for this program.

CYFAR SCP PROJECT TITLE	APPROXIMATE AVAILABLE FUNDING
New CYFAR SCP Projects	\$320,000
CYFAR Continuation Projects	\$6,720,000
TOTAL	\$7,040,000

There is no commitment by USDA to fund any particular application or to make a specific number of awards.

Awards issued as a result of this RFA will have designated the Automated Standard Applications for Payment System (ASAP), operated by the Department of Treasury's Bureau of the Fiscal Service, as the payment system for funds. For more information see <http://fms.treas.gov/index1.html> or <https://www.asap.gov>.

B. Types of Applications

In FY 2017, you may submit applications to the CYFAR Program as one (1) of the following types of requests

New application. This is a project application that has not been previously submitted to the CYFAR Program. We will review all new applications competitively using the selection process and evaluation criteria described in Part V—Application Review Requirements.

Resubmitted application. This is an application that had previously been submitted to the CYFAR Program but not funded. Project Directors (PDs) must respond to the previous review panel summary (see Response to Previous Review, Part IV). Resubmitted applications must be received by the relevant due dates, will be evaluated in competition with other pending applications in appropriate area to which they are assigned, and will be reviewed according to the same evaluation criteria as new applications.

It is the intention of NIFA to make new awards for each fiscal year commencing in FY 2017 through FY 2020 based upon the FY 2017 competition. In FY 2017, NIFA plans to make four (4) new continuation awards for an initial project period of one (1) year to grantees who have requested funding for up to five (5) years. A continuation grant is a grant instrument by which the Department agrees to support a specified level of effort for a predetermined project period with a

statement of intention to provide additional support at a future date, provided that grantee performance has been satisfactory, appropriations are available for this purpose, and continued support would be in the best interest of the Federal government and the public. If these three (3) elements are met, NIFA plans to provide additional support in FYs 2017 through 2020. NIFA will provide applicants funding in FY 2017. Further instructions concerning CYFAR Continuation awards for FYs 2017 through 2020 will be provided at a future date, on an annual basis. Applications must include a plan of work, annual (overall) budget, annual (overall) budget narrative, community site budgets, community site budget narratives for each of the five (5) years, and a cumulative budget covering the entire project period. In FY 2017, you may submit applications to the CYFAR SCP Program as one (1) of the following types of requests:

NIFA will issue a new award on a continuation basis. A continuation award is an award instrument by which NIFA agrees to support a specified level of effort for a predetermined period of time with a statement of intention to provide additional support at a future date, provided that performance has been satisfactory, appropriations are available for this purpose, and continued support would be in the best interest of the Federal Government and the public.

C. Project Types

There are two (2) project types offered with this Extension grant funding program: Single SCP and Joint SCP. The Regular SCP Project Type (Single Eligible Institution) refers to one (1) institution applying for CYFAR SCP funding. The Joint SCP Project Type refers to a Joint SCP Project proposal; the co-applicants may include one (1) or more additional institutions.

New applicants should refer to Part I.B.7. of this RFA for specific details regarding the submission of a five (5) year work plan detailing short- and long-term desired results. The maximum funding per grantee will be \$80,000 for the initial year for a single institutional applicant, and for joint institutional applications the maximum funding allowed in the initial year is \$160,000. The first year should be focused on planning and launching the program. In years two (2) through five (5), NIFA will accept requests for up to \$140,000 per year for single institutions; and partnering institutional applicants may request up to \$280,000 per year. The statutory time limit of the project as a continuation award will be five (5) years.

NIFA is seeking applications from institutions that can demonstrate their capacity to develop statewide grantee capacity and deliver programs for at-risk children, youth, and families; and are able to provide program, evaluation, and technology support to Sustainable Community Projects.

All projects funded through this program will only have an Extension focus.

NIFA anticipates making NEW continuation awards under this RFA. A continuation award is issued for a specific level of effort for a predetermined period of time with a statement of intention to provide additional support at a future date. Continuation of the funding for the award is provided if performance has been satisfactory, appropriations are available for this purpose, and continued support is in the best interests of the Federal government and the public.

Applications should be submitted for five (5) year project periods. Funding will be awarded one (1) year at a time. CYFAR projects will also be required to submit a year-end report to NIFA's electronic, Web-based inventory system that facilitates both grantee submissions of project outcomes and public access to information on Federally-funded projects. The details of these reporting requirements are included in the award terms and conditions. Successful applicants are expected to comply with the required site evaluations submission and should utilize the Interactive CYFAR Common Measures Survey Builder (<https://cyfernetsearch.org/>) to develop their evaluation assessment.

CYFAR projects are required to submit program site evaluation data to <https://cyfernetsearch.org/> for CYFAR Program outcome and evaluation. In accordance with OMB Control Number 0524-0043, collection of the required information has been approved.

1. Regular SCP Project Proposal (Single Eligible Institution)

Applicants may request up to \$80,000 (total, for the initial year) for a Regular Project Proposal. In a Regular Project Proposal, the applicant executes the project without the requirement of sharing grant funds with other project partners. This single project type should have two (2) or three (3) community sites.

2. Joint SCP Project Proposal [Applicant + One (1) Eligible Land-grant Partner]

Applicants may request up to \$160,000 (total for the first year) for a Joint SCP Project Proposal. In a Joint SCP Project Proposal, the co-applicants must include one (1) or more additional institutions. All partners must share grant funds and this sharing must be demonstrated in the budget justification. This project type should have two (2) or three (3) community sites per eligible Land-grant institution; resulting in a total of at least four (4) to six (6) community sites. Only 1862 and 1890 Land-grant institutions are eligible to apply as lead institutions. A premium of ten (10) points will be awarded during the application evaluation process for Joint SCP Project proposals where 1862 and 1890 Institutions collaborate.

Funds may be sub-awarded by the Awardee. Partnerships with the Tribal Land-grant Institutions (aka 1994 Land-grant colleges) and Hispanic Serving Institutions as sub-awardees are encouraged.

Partnership with Tribal Institutions (aka 1994 Land-grant colleges), where the 1994 Land-grant college is a sub-awardee will be awarded five (5) additional points during the application evaluation process.

The following represents NIFA's estimates for FY 2017:

Description	Regular CYFAR SCP Project (Single)	Joint CYFAR SCP Project
Maximum Amount Requested per Proposal	\$80,000	\$160,000
Maximum Institutional Support (including 10% evaluation costs)	\$52,050	\$104,340
Minimum Budget for Community Sites	\$30,590	\$61,660

D. Responsible and Ethical Conduct of Research

In accordance with sections 2, 3, and 8 of 2 CFR Part 422, institutions that conduct USDA-funded extramural research must foster an atmosphere conducive to research integrity, bear primary responsibility for prevention and detection of research misconduct, and maintain and effectively communicate and train their staff regarding policies and procedures. In the event an application to NIFA results in an award, the Authorized Representative (AR) assures, through acceptance of the award that the institution will comply with the above requirements. Award recipients shall, upon request, make available to NIFA the policies, procedures, and documentation to support the conduct of the training.

For information about the Responsible and Ethical Conduct of Research, see <http://nifa.usda.gov/responsible-and-ethical-conduct-research>.

PART III—ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

A. Eligible Applicants

Applications may only be submitted by Cooperative Extension at 1890 Land-grant Institutions, including Tuskegee University, Central State University, and West Virginia State University; 1862 Land-grant Colleges and Universities; and the University of the District of Columbia.

Award recipients may subcontract to organizations not eligible to apply provided such organizations are necessary for the conduct of the project. Failure to meet an eligibility criterion by the time of application deadline may result in the application being excluded from consideration or, even though an application may be reviewed, will preclude NIFA from making an award.

Only one (1) application per Land-grant institution will be accepted. Current CYFAR SCP grantees may submit an application for an additional new project; however, if the same community site is being used, a justification must be provided. Attach to Field 12, Other Project Information.

B. Cost Sharing or Matching

NIFA does not require matching support for this program and matching resources will not be factored into the review process as evaluation criteria.

C. Centers of Excellence (COE)

Pursuant to Section 7214 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (Pub. L. 113-79), beginning in Fiscal Year 2015, for applicable competitive research and extension programs, NIFA will be recognizing and providing priority in the receipt of funding to applications from “centers of excellence” that have been established for purposes of carrying out research, extension, and education activities relating to the food and agricultural sciences. In July of 2014, NIFA held listening sessions and accepted written comments from stakeholders to inform NIFA’s implementation of the centers of excellence provision. Information from the webinars and a summary of the input gathered are available on NIFA’s website at <http://nifa.usda.gov/resource/centers-excellence-webinars>.

A center of excellence is composed of one (1) or more of the following entities that provide financial or in-kind support to the center of excellence. Therefore, an eligible applicant who wishes to be considered as a center of excellence must be one (1) of the following entities that provides financial or in-kind support to the center being proposed, as described in the grant application.

(A) State agricultural experiment stations;

(B) colleges and universities;

- (C) university research foundations;
- (D) other research institutions and organizations;
- (E) Federal agencies;
- (F) national laboratories;
- (G) private organizations, foundations, or corporations;
- (H) individuals; or
- (I) any group consisting of 2 or more of the entities described in (A) through (H).

Only CYFAR SCP Joint Projects may be considered for center of excellence (COE) designation. See Part IV, B. of this RFA for additional requirements that eligible applicants must meet to be considered a center of excellence.

PART IV—APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION

A. Electronic Application Package

Only electronic applications may be submitted via Grants.gov to NIFA in response to this RFA. We urge you to submit early to the Grants.gov system. For information about the pre-award phase of the grant lifecycle see <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants.html>.

New Users of Grants.gov

Prior to preparing an application, we recommend that the Project Director/Principal Investigator (PD/PI) first contact an Authorized Representative (AR, also referred to as Authorized Organizational Representative or AOR) to determine if the organization is prepared to submit electronic applications through Grants.gov. If not (e.g., the institution/organization is new to the electronic grant application process through Grants.gov), then the one-time registration process must be completed PRIOR to submitting an application. It can take as long as 2 weeks to complete the registration process so it is critical to begin as soon as possible. In such situations, the AR should go to **“Register” in the top right corner of the Grants.gov web page (or go to <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/register.html>) for information on registering the institution/organization with Grants.gov.** Part II.1. of the NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide contains detailed information regarding the registration process. Refer to item 2. below to locate the “NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide”.

Steps to Obtain Application Package Materials

To receive application materials:

1. You must download and install a version of Adobe Reader compatible with Grants.gov to access, complete, and submit applications. For basic system requirements and download instructions, see <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/adobe-software-compatibility.html>. Grants.gov has a test package that will help you determine whether your current version of Adobe Reader is compatible.

To obtain the application package from Grants.gov, go to <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/download-application-package.html> and enter the **Funding Opportunity Number: USDA-NIFA-SLBCD-006244** in the appropriate box and click “Search.” From the search result, click “Select Package” to access the application package. A Grant Application Package is tied to a particular funding opportunity. You may submit an application ONLY to the particular funding opportunity to which the Grant Application Package is associated.

Contained within the application package is the “NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide.” This guide contains an introduction and general Grants.gov instructions, information about how to use a Grant Application Package in Grants.gov, and instructions on how to complete the application forms.

If you require assistance to access the application package (e.g., downloading or navigating Adobe forms) **or submitting the application**, refer to resources available on the Grants.gov website (<http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants.html>) Grants.gov assistance is also available at:

Grants.gov customer support
800-518-4726 Toll-Free or 606-545-5035
Business Hours: 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Closed on [federal holidays](#).
Email: support@grants.gov

Grants.gov iPortal (see <https://grants-portal.psc.gov/Welcome.aspx?pt=Grants>):
Top 10 requested help topics (FAQs), Searchable knowledge base, self-service ticketing and ticket status, and live web chat (available 7 a.m. - 9 p.m. ET). Get help now!

Have the following information available when contacting Grants.gov:

- Funding Opportunity Number (FON)
- Name of agency you are applying to
- Specific area of concern

B. Content and Form of Application Submission

You should prepare electronic applications following Parts V and VI of the NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide. This guide is part of the corresponding application package (see Section A. of this Part). The following is **additional information** needed to prepare an application in response to this RFA. **If there is discrepancy between the two (2) documents, the information contained in this RFA is overriding.**

Note the attachment requirements (e.g., PDF) in Part III section 3. of the guide. ANY PROPOSALS THAT ARE NON-COMPLIANT WITH THE REQUIREMENTS (e.g., content format, PDF file format, file name restrictions, and no password protected files) WILL BE AT RISK OF BEING EXCLUDED FROM NIFA REVIEW. Grants.gov does not check for NIFA required attachments or that attachments are in PDF format; see Part III section 6.1 of the guide for how to check the manifest of submitted files. **Partial applications will be excluded from NIFA review. We will accept subsequent submissions of an application until close of business on the closing date in the RFA (see Part V, 2.1 of the NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide for further information).**

For any questions related to the preparation of an application, review the NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide and the applicable RFA. If assistance is still needed for preparing application forms content, contact:

- Email: electronic@nifa.usda.gov
- Phone: 202-401-5048
- Business hours: Monday through Friday, 7 a.m. – 5 p.m. ET, excluding [federal holidays](#).

1. SF 424 R&R Cover Sheet

Information related to the questions on this form is dealt with in detail in Part V, 2. of the NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide. See Part V., Section 2.18. of the NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide for the required certifications and assurances (e.g., Prohibition Against Entities Requiring Certain Internal Confidentiality Agreements).

2. SF 424 R&R Project/Performance Site Location(s)

Information related to the questions on this form is dealt with in detail in Part V, 3. of the NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide.

3. R&R Other Project Information Form

Detailed information related to the questions on this form is dealt with in detail in Part V, 4. of the NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide.

a. Field 7. Project Summary/Abstract. The summary should also include the relevance of the project to the goals of **CYFAR SCP**. See Part V. 4.7 of NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide for further instructions and a link to a suggested template.

b. Field 8. Project Narrative.

NOTE: The Project Narrative shall not exceed **12** pages of written text (double spaced) for a single university submission; and shall not exceed **15** pages of written text (double spaced) for joint university submissions. The Logic Model (see #6 below) is in addition to the 12 page (single university application) or 15 page (joint university application) Project Narrative submission. No graphs, photographs, charts, tables or support letters should be included in this field. All appendices or attachments to the application should be attached under Field 12. This maximum number of pages (12 pages and 15 pages respectively) has been established to ensure fair and equitable competition. Applicants exceeding the page amount are at-risk of not being reviewed by the peer panel. The Project Narrative must include all of the following:

1. CYFAR SCP Project Management:

- (a) Project Title: (Your Institution) Sustainable Community Project
- (b) SCP Staff name, title, address, e-mail for:
 - (i) SCP Project Director(s),
 - (ii) SCP Evaluator,
 - (iii) SCP Technology Specialist
- (c) CYFAR Outcome (see 2. below)
- (d) Program Model (see 3. below)
- (e) Community Sites. For each community site provide:
 - (i) Community staff name, title, address, and e-mail; and
 - (ii) Community & faith-based program partners.

2. One (1) National CYFAR Outcome:

Explain the rationale for the decision, the needs assessment process used, and who was involved.

3. One (1) Program Model:

- (a) Describe the selected program model including the following:
 - (i) Desired short and long-term results;
 - (ii) Age range of high context participants;
 - (iii) Frequency and duration of contact with the program;
 - (iv) Group size and staffing plan;
 - (v) Uniform program and curriculum content (e.g., science technology, community service, drama, computer literacy, reading literacy, parent education, exercise/fitness); and
 - (vi) Standardized training and technical assistance plans for project staff.
- (b) Describe the process used for determining this program model.
- (c) Explain how each of the Guiding Principles is addressed in the selected Program Model (http://www.nifa.usda.gov/nea/family/cyfar/pdfs/guiding_principles.pdf).

4. Integrated Program Components:

- (a) **Community:** Describe how the proposed program fits into the Community context using at least one (1) of the recommended approaches.
- (b) **Technology:** Describe how the Technology Plan ensures that information and communication technology is integrated throughout the SCP program.
- (c) **Sustainability:** Describe the Sustainability Plan including critical factors.

5. Selecting Community Sites:

Describe the process and rationale used for selecting the SCP Community Sites. Community sites must be identified at the time of application submission.

6. Five (5) Year Logic Model:

Provide a five (5) year Logic Model for the SCP. The CYFAR-specific logic model consists of five (5) elements including:

- (a) Identified needs and assets;
- (b) Desired results (short-term and long-term);
- (c) Indicators (short-term and long-term);
- (d) Activities; and
- (e) Resources.

The Logic Model Builder can be found at: <http://cyfernetsearch.org/build> in the Program Planning for Effective Program Evaluation module. Prospective applicants are required to develop a logic model, and use the CYFERnet SEARCH website to create a user account and develop their program's logic model. With the use of the newly developed logic model, prospective applicants also need to identify at least one (1) short-term outcome from their logic model that will result from their program and can be evaluated.

7. Five (5) Year Work Plan for the SCP:

The Work Plan should detail how the selected program model will be implemented over five (5) years. The Work Plan should address staffing, recruiting participants, recruiting volunteers, establishing key partnerships, program implementation, marketing and promotion, materials development, training staff and volunteers in a five-year timeline. The two (2) or more context cohorts planned for the five (5) years should be noted in the five-year plan timeline.

8. Center of Excellence (COE) Justification:

Only CYFAR SCP Joint Projects may be considered for COE designation.

In addition to meeting the other requirements detailed in Part IV, B., of this Request for Application (RFA), eligible applicants who wish to be considered as centers of excellence must provide a brief justification statement, as part of their Project Narratives and within the page limits provided, describing how they meet the standards of a center of excellence, based on the following criteria:

(A) the ability of the center of excellence to ensure coordination and cost effectiveness by reducing unnecessarily duplicative efforts regarding research, teaching, and extension in the implementation of the proposed research and/or extension activity outlined in this application;

(B) in addition to any applicable matching requirements, the ability of the center of excellence to leverage available resources by using public-private partnerships among agricultural industry groups, institutions of higher education, and the Federal Government in the implementation of the proposed research and/or extension activity outlined in this application. Resources leveraged should be commensurate with the size of the award;

(C) the planned scope and capability of the center of excellence to implement teaching initiatives to increase awareness and effectively disseminate solutions to target audiences through extension activities in the implementation of the proposed research and/or extension activity outlined in this application; and

(D) the ability or capacity of the center of excellence to increase the economic returns to rural communities by identifying, attracting, and directing funds to high-priority agricultural issues in support of and as a result of the implementation of the proposed research and/or extension activity outlined in this application.

Additionally, where practicable (not required), center of excellence applicants should describe proposed efforts to improve teaching capacity and infrastructure at colleges and universities (including land-grant colleges and universities, cooperating forestry schools, certified Non-Land Grant Colleges of Agriculture (NLGCA) (list of certified NLGCA is available at http://www.nifa.usda.gov/funding/pdfs/nlgca_colleges.pdf, and schools of veterinary medicine).

c. Field 12. Add Other Attachments

See Part V., Section 4.12. of the NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide (Field 12 on the Form) for instructions regarding mandatory Felony Convictions or Tax Delinquent Status.

Response to Previous Review. One (1) Page Limit.

This requirement only applies to “Resubmitted Applications” as described under Part II, B., and “Types of Applications”. PDs must respond to the previous review panel summary on no more than one (1) page, titled, “RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS REVIEW”. If desired, additional comments may be included in the text of the Project Narrative, subject to the page limitations of that section.

4. R&R Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)

Detailed information related to the questions on this form is dealt with in detail in Part V, 5. of the NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide. This section of the Guide includes instructions about Senior/Key Person Profile requirements, and details about the Biographical Sketch and the Current and Pending Support, including a link to a suggested template for the Current and Pending Support.

5. R&R Personal Data – As noted in Part V, 6. of the NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide, the submission of this information is voluntary and is not a precondition of award. Part V.6. also notes the importance and use of the information.

6. R&R Budget

Information related to the questions on this form is dealt with in detail in Part V, 7. of the NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide.

NIFA does not require matching support for this program and matching resources will not be factored into the review process as evaluation criteria.

New applicants may request up to \$80,000 for single institution applications and \$160,000 for joint institution applicants for the initial year. The initial year should be focused on planning and launching the program. In years two (2) through five (5), NIFA will accept requests for up to \$140,000 for single institution applications or \$280,000 for joint institution applications per year. **However, applicants are cautioned to request only amounts that can be expended by the end of the budget year, since carryover funds will be subtracted from the following year’s request.**

New applicants may retain a maximum of \$52,050 for single institution applications and for joint applications up to \$104,340 may be allocated for university support to the SCP, including technical assistance with program development, evaluation, technology, and linking of SCP to other CYFAR resources in the state and to Professional Development and Technical Assistance CYFERnet (Children, Youth and Families Education and Research Network).

NOTE: See chart provided in Part II.C. – Project Types for summary and further details.

Applications must contain an annual (overall) budget, annual (overall) budget narrative, community site budgets, community site budget narratives for each of the five (5) years, and a cumulative budget and cumulative budget narrative, covering the entire project period. The Budget Justification (aka the Budget Narrative) must include an itemized breakdown of all support requested.

Show the total amount allocated for the community site budgets within Field F, lines 8, 9 and 10 of cumulative budget form.

Community Site Budgets:

Individual community site budget forms and budget narratives are required for five (5) years. For each of the five (5) years, use the R & R Subaward budget section of the application to submit these budgets and narratives. It is recognized that if the community sites are operated through the university system, then they are not considered sub-awards. Because of the requirements of Grants.gov all community sites both extension-based community sites and those sites that operate apart from extension must complete the sub-award forms for each site. **All community sites according to Grants.gov are considered sub-awards.**

If an outside organization provides some of the program operation, it is considered a subaward. Identify the operator. Include required subaward information.

It is recommended that the number of community sites be limited to two (2) or three (3) sites.

NOTE: Applicants are reminded to include the Base Annual Salaries for all Key Personnel.

First year SCP project staff inclusive of the (Project Director (PD), evaluation, and technology staff are required to attend the designated CYFAR SCP Grantee Orientation and CYFAR SCP Grantee Workshop.

In years two (2) through five (5) SCP, university, county, and community site staff are required to participate in the CYFAR SCP Grantee Workshop. Travel expenses for these required trips should be included in the budget request.

Evaluation

A minimum of 10% of the total project budget must be allotted for staff, travel, and material costs of the SCP evaluation. Provide a list at the end of your budget narrative of the costs that are associated with the 10% evaluation requirement.

A portion of the budget must be dedicated to high-level evaluation intended for outcome measurement that has measurable outcomes which are related to social outcomes and USDA mission and/or REE priority areas.

7. Supplemental Information Form

Detailed information related to the questions on this form is dealt with in detail in Part VI, 1. of the NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide.

- a. Field 2. Program to which you are applying.** Enter the program code name (i.e., enter “**Youth at Risk**”) and the program code (i.e., enter “**MC**”). Note that accurate entry of the program code is very important for proper and timely processing of an application.
- b. Field 8. Conflict of Interest List.** See Part VI, 1.8 of the NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide for further instructions and a link to a suggested template.

C. Submission Dates and Times

Prior to electronic submission of the application via Grants.gov, it is strongly recommended that an administrative review be conducted to ensure that an application complies with all application preparation instructions. An application checklist is included in Part VII of the NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide to assist with this review. While the checklist should be used to check the application for completeness, the application should be checked for the following required item(s). This is not an exhaustive list of required items; it only serves to highlight items that may be overlooked. The list includes:

- Logic Model
- Five (5) Year Work Plan
- Current and Pending Support

Instructions for submitting an application are included in Part IV, Section 1.9 of the NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide.

Applications must be received by Grants.gov by **5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on March 29, 2017**. Applications received after this deadline will normally not be considered for funding.

If you have trouble submitting an application to Grants.gov, you should FIRST contact the Grants.gov Help Desk to resolve any problems. Keep a record of any such correspondence. See Part IV. A. for Grants.gov contact information.

We send email correspondence to the AR regarding the status of submitted applications. Therefore, applicants are strongly encouraged to provide accurate e-mail addresses, where designated, on the SF-424 R&R Application for Federal Assistance.

If the AR has not received correspondence **from NIFA** regarding a submitted application within 30 days of the established deadline, contact the Agency Contact identified in Part VII of the applicable RFA and request the proposal number assigned to the application. **Failure to do so may result in the application not being considered for funding by the peer review panel.**

Once the application has been assigned a proposal number, this number should be cited on all future correspondence.

D. Funding Restrictions

Pursuant to Section 1473 of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977, as amended, 7 U.S.C. 3319, **indirect costs and tuition remission are not allowable costs under Section 3(d) of Smith-Lever funded projects, and no funds will be approved for this purpose.** Costs that are a part of an institution's indirect cost pool (e.g., administrative or clerical salaries) may not be reclassified as direct costs for the purpose of making them allowable.

Grant funds awarded under this authority may not be used for the renovation or refurbishment of research, education, or Extension space; the purchase or installation of fixed equipment in such space; or the planning, repair, rehabilitation, acquisition, or construction of buildings or facilities.

The Statutory time limitation for this program is five (5) years from the project start date on the Award Face Sheet (Form NIFA-2009). Extension of time beyond the five (5) years will not be permitted.

E. Other Submission Requirements

You should follow the submission requirements noted in Part IV, section 1.9 in the document entitled "NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide."

For information about the **status of a submitted application**, see Part III., section 6. of the NIFA Grants.gov Application Guide.

PART V—APPLICATION REVIEW REQUIREMENTS

A. General

We evaluate each application in a two-part process. First, we screen each application to ensure that it meets the administrative requirements as set forth in this RFA. Second, a technical review panel will evaluate applications that meet the administrative requirements.

We select reviewers based upon their training and experience in relevant scientific, extension, or education fields, taking into account the following factors:

- the level of relevant formal scientific, technical education, or extension experience of the individual, as well as the extent to which an individual is engaged in relevant research, education, or extension activities;
- the need to include experts from various areas of specialization within relevant scientific, education, or extension fields;
- the need to include other experts (e.g., producers, range or forest managers/operators, and consumers) who can assess relevance of the applications to targeted audiences and to program needs;
- the need to include experts from a variety of organizational types (e.g., colleges, universities, industry, state and federal agencies, and private profit and non-profit organizations) and geographic locations;
- the need to maintain a balanced composition with regard to minority and female representation and an equitable age distribution; and
- the need to include reviewers who can judge the effective usefulness of each application to producers and the general public.

After each peer review panel has completed its deliberations, the responsible program staff of **CYFAR** will recommend that your project be approved for support from currently available funds or be declined due to insufficient funds or unfavorable review.

CYFAR reserves the right to negotiate with the PD/PI and/or with the submitting organization or institution regarding project revisions (e.g., reductions in the scope of work, funding level, period, or method of support) prior to recommending any project for funding.

We will send copies of reviews, *not* including the identity of reviewers, and a summary of the panel comments to the PD after the review process has been completed.

B. Evaluation Criteria

We will use the evaluation criteria below to review applications submitted in response to this RFA:

1. CYFAR SCP Project Management (10 Points)

This criterion assesses the degree to which the application has complete information for all of the following:

- (a) Project Title: (Your Institution) Sustainable Community Project;
- (b) SCP Staff name, title, address, e-mail for:
 - (i) SCP Project Director(s), SCP Evaluator, SCP Technology Specialist;
- (c) CYFAR Outcome;
- (d) Program Model; and
- (e) Community Sites. For each community site provide:
 - (i) Location, County staff name, title, address, e-mail;
 - (ii) Community staff name, title, address, and e-mail; and
 - (iii) Community & Faith-based program partners.
- (f) Explain integration of Extension programming efforts with Extension staff, inclusive of a letter of support from the university/college Extension Director.

2. The soundness of the National CYFAR Outcome (5 Points)

This criterion is an indicator of programmatic readiness to effectively deliver the specified program and meet its specified outcomes to the community sites.

3. One (1) Program Model (25 Points)

- (a) The degree to which the selected Program Model evaluates the following: (15 points)
 - (i) Desired long-term results;
 - (ii) Age range of high context participants;
 - (iii) Frequency and duration of contact with the program;
 - (iv) Group size and staffing plan;
 - (v) Uniform program and curriculum content (e.g., science technology, community service, drama, computer literacy, reading literacy, parent education, exercise/fitness; and
 - (vi) Standardized training and technical assistance plans for project staff.
- (b) The degree to which the process used for determining this program Pilot Model is assessed and evaluated. (5 points)
- (c) The soundness of how each of the Guiding Principles is addressed in the selected Program Model. (5 points)

4. Integrated Program Components (15 Points)

- (a) Community – Describes how the proposed program fits into the Community context using at least one (1) of the recommended approaches. (5 Points)
- (b) Technology – Describes the Technology Plan to ensure that information and communication technology is integrated throughout the SCP program. (5 Points)
- (c) Sustainability – Describes the Sustainability Plan including critical factors. (5 Points)

5. The soundness on the process for selecting the SCP Community Sites. (5 Points)

6. Five (5) Year Logic Model (10 Points)

A clear logic Pilot Model has been articulated for how to work with communities in program development, implementation, and evaluation.

7. Five (5) Year Work Plan (15 Points)

A clear Work Plan is articulated for how the selected program model will be or will continue to be implemented.

8. Joint SCP Projects (10 Points)

A premium of ten (10) points will be offered to joint projects including 1890 institutions as lead or as Subawardee.

9. SCP Projects including 1994 Institutions (5 Points)

A premium of five (5) points will be offered to projects including 1994 institutions as Subawardee. See: <http://nifa.usda.gov/resource/1994-land-grant-directory>

10. Persistent Poverty Communities (5 Points)

A premium of five (5) points will be offered for projects engaging at least one (1) of the over 700 Persistent Poverty Communities. See: http://www.usda.gov/wps/portal/usda/usdahome?navid=STRIKE_FORCE

C. Conflicts of Interest and Confidentiality

During the peer evaluation process, we take extreme care to prevent any actual or perceived conflicts of interest that may impact review or evaluation. See http://www.nifa.usda.gov/business/competitive_peer_review.html for further information about conflicts of interest and confidentiality as related to the peer review process.

D. Organizational Management Information

Specific management information relating to an applicant shall be submitted on a one-time basis, with updates on an as needed basis. This requirement is part of the responsibility determination prior to the award of a grant identified under this RFA, if such information has not been provided previously under this or another NIFA program. We will provide you copies of forms recommended for use in fulfilling these requirements as part of the preaward process. Although an applicant may be eligible based on its status as one (1) of these entities, there are factors that may exclude an applicant from receiving federal financial and nonfinancial assistance and benefits under this program (e.g., debarment or suspension of an individual involved or a

determination that an applicant is not responsible based on submitted organizational management information).

E. Application Disposition

An application may be withdrawn at any time before a final funding decision is made regarding the application. Each application that is not selected for funding, including those that are withdrawn, will be retained by CYFAR for a period of three (3) years.

PART VI—AWARD ADMINISTRATION

A. General

Within the limit of funds available for such purpose, the NIFA awarding official shall make grants to those responsible, eligible applicants whose applications are judged most meritorious under the procedures set forth in this RFA. The date specified by the NIFA awarding official as the effective date of the grant shall be no later than September 30 of the federal fiscal year in which the project is approved for support and funds are appropriated for such purpose, unless otherwise permitted by law. The project need not be initiated on the grant effective date, but as soon thereafter as practical so that project goals may be attained within the funded project period. All funds granted by NIFA under this RFA may be used only for the purpose for which they are granted in accordance with the approved application and budget, regulations, terms and conditions of the award, applicable federal cost principles, USDA assistance regulations, and NIFA General Awards Administration Provisions at 7 CFR part 3430, subparts A through E.

B. Award Notice

The award document will provide pertinent instructions and information including, at a minimum, the information described in [2 CFR 200.210](#).

See <http://www.nifa.usda.gov/business/awards/awardterms.html> to view current NIFA award terms and conditions.

C. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

Several federal statutes and regulations apply to grant applications considered for review and to project grants awarded under this program. These may include, but are not limited to, the ones listed on the NIFA web page – see: <http://nifa.usda.gov/federal-regulations>.

NIFA Federal Assistance Policy Guide—a compendium of basic NIFA policies and procedures that apply to all NIFA awards, unless there are statutory, regulatory, or award-specific requirements to the contrary is available at <http://nifa.usda.gov/policy-guide>.

Responsible and Ethical Conduct of Research

Please refer to Part II, D. for more information.

D. Expected Program Outputs and Reporting Requirements

The output and reporting requirements are included in the award terms and conditions (see <http://www.nifa.usda.gov/business/awards/awardterms.html> for information about NIFA award terms). If there are any program or award-specific award terms, those, if any, will be identified in the award.

Successful applicants are expected to comply with the required site evaluations submission and should utilize the Interactive CYFAR Common Measures Survey Builder (cyfernetsearch.org) to develop their evaluation assessment.

PART VII—AGENCY CONTACTS

Applicants and other interested parties are encouraged to contact:

Programmatic Contact –

Bonita Williams, Ph.D.; National Program Leader; Division of Youth and 4-H; Institute of Youth, Family and Community; National Institute of Food and Agriculture; U.S. Department of Agriculture; STOP 2251; 1400 Independence Avenue, SW; Washington, DC 20250-2251; phone number: (202) 720-3566; fax number: (202) 720-9366; email: bwilliams@nifa.usda.gov

Or

Bianca Johnson; Program Specialist; Division of Youth and 4-H; Institute of Youth, Family and Community; National Institute of Food and Agriculture; U.S. Department of Agriculture; STOP 2251; 1400 Independence Avenue, SW; Washington, DC 20250-2251; phone number: (202) 720-3566; fax number: (202) 720-9366; email: bjohnson@nifa.usda.gov

Administrative/Business Contact –

Sondra Watkins; Team Leader, Team II; Awards Management Division; National Institute of Food and Agriculture; U.S. Department of Agriculture; STOP 2251; 1400 Independence Avenue, SW; Washington, DC 20250-2251; phone number: (202) 401-4249; fax number: (202) 401-3237; email: swatkins@nifa.usda.gov

PART VIII—OTHER INFORMATION

A. Use of Funds; Changes

1. Delegation of Fiscal Responsibility

Unless the terms and conditions of the award state otherwise, awardees may not in whole or in part delegate or transfer to another person, institution, or organization the responsibility for use or expenditure of award funds.

2. Changes in Budget or Project Plans

In accordance with [2 CFR 200.308](#), awardees must request prior approval from NIFA for the following program or budget-related reasons:

- (i) Change in the scope or the objective of the project or program (even if there is no associated budget revision requiring prior written approval).
- (ii) Change in a key person specified in the application or the Federal award.
- (iii) The disengagement from the project for more than three (3) months, or a 25 percent reduction in time devoted to the project, by the approved project director or principal investigator.
- (iv) The inclusion, unless waived by the Federal awarding agency, of costs that require prior approval in accordance with Subpart E—Cost Principles of this part or 45 CFR part 75 Appendix IX, “Principles for Determining Costs Applicable to Research and Development under Awards and Contracts with Hospitals,” or 48 CFR part 31, “Contract Cost Principles and Procedures,” as applicable.
- (v) The transfer of funds budgeted for participant support costs as defined in §200.75 Participant support costs to other categories of expense.
- (vi) Unless described in the application and funded in the approved Federal awards, the subawarding, transferring or contracting out of any work under a Federal award, including fixed amount subawards as described in §200.332 Fixed amount subawards. This provision does not apply to the acquisition of supplies, material, equipment or general support services.
- (vii) Changes in the approved cost-sharing or matching provided by the non-Federal entity.
- (viii) The need arises for additional Federal funds to complete the project.

The awardee will be subject to the terms and conditions identified in the award. See <http://www.nifa.usda.gov/business/awards/awardterms.html> for information about NIFA award terms.

B. Confidential Aspects of Applications and Awards

When an application results in an award, it becomes a part of the record of NIFA transactions, available to the public upon specific request. Information that the Secretary determines to be of a confidential, privileged, or proprietary nature will be held in confidence to the extent permitted by law. Therefore, any information that the applicant wishes to have considered as confidential, privileged, or proprietary should be clearly marked within the application. The original copy of

an application that does not result in an award will be retained by the Agency for a period of three (3) years. Other copies will be destroyed. Such an application will be released only with the consent of the applicant or to the extent required by law. An application may be withdrawn at any time prior to the final action thereon.

C. Regulatory Information

For the reasons set forth in the final Rule related Notice to 2 CFR part 415, subpart C , this program is excluded from the scope of the Executive Order 12372 which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials. Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the collection of information requirements contained in this Notice have been approved under OMB Document No. 0524-0039.

D. Definitions

Please refer to [7 CFR 3430, Competitive and Noncompetitive Non-formula Financial Assistance Programs--General Award Administrative Provisions](#), for applicable definitions for this NIFA grant program.

E. Materials Available on the Internet:

CYFAR program information will be made available on the NIFA web site at:

<https://nifa.usda.gov/program/children-youth-and-families-risk-cyfar>.

The following are among the materials available on the web page:

1. CYFAR Program Overview
2. CYFAR Program Information
3. CYFAR Reporting
4. CYFAR Grants Instructions and Stakeholder Information